



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/974,923		10/10/2001	Kelan C. Silvester	INTL-0667-US (P12985)	1093	
21906	7590	12/29/2005		EXAMINER		
TROP PRU 8554 KATY		,	COLIN, CARL G			
SUITE 100	- 1122	••		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON, TX 77024				2136		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/974,923	SILVESTER, KELAN C.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Carl Colin	2136		

		1 2 100	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complete following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) ir iance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing d		na fimal vainatiam vytiatavy	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that			er is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F		D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee tutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		OTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or		reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally r	ejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	-	•	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	Compliant Amendmen	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		e timely filed amendn	pent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			•
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5 and 7-29</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a id sufficient reasons why the affid	Notice of Appeal will gavit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ills to provide a (1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by (See note below).	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	r No(s)	

The arguments filed on 12/13/2005 presented by Applicant are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the cited reference discloses a GPS system and the present invention can accomplish a comparable operation without the need of a GPS equipment. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., without the need of a GPS equipment) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In addition, in the last office action, Examiner provides enough support that discloses the claimed invention as claimed. Applicant relies only on one embodiment (GPS embodiment) to argue about the reference, but not on the citation provided by the Examiner. For at least the reasons cited above and in the Final Office Action, the request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowance.

SUBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TEGHNOLOGY CENTER 2100